

Clinical and Molecular Characterization of FAP and SPP1 in Colorectal Cancer (CRC), CALGB (Alliance)/SWOG 80405 and Real-world Data

Karam Ashouri¹, Joshua Millstein¹, Yan Yang¹, Joanne Xiu², Shivani Soni¹, Sandra Algaze¹, Pooja Mittal¹, Lesly Torres-Gonzalez¹, Unnati H. Shah¹, Anthony F. Shields³, Richard M. Goldberg⁴, Emil Lou⁵, Benjamin A. Weinberg⁶, John L. Marshall⁶, Shannon M. Mumenthaler¹, Alan P. Venook⁷, Stacey D. Finley⁸, Francesca Battaglin¹, Heinz-Josef Lenz¹ 1 Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA. 2 Caris Life Sciences, Phoenix, AZ, USA. 3 Department of Oncology, Karmanos Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, USA. 4 West Virginia University Cancer Institute, Morgantown, WV, USA. 5 Division of Hematology, Oncology and Transplantation, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA. 6 Ruesch Center for The Cure of Gastrointestinal Cancers, Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center, Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, DC, USA. 7 Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA. 8 Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Southern California, CA, USA.

Background

•FAP and SPP1 contribute to immune modulation in the CRC tumor microenvironment (TME). •FAP is expressed by cancer associated fibroblasts, aiding in tissue remodeling and tumor invasion. •SPP1 is an integrin-binding protein expressed by tumor associated macrophages that promotes tumor growth, adhesion, and metastasis. •We present a clinical and molecular

characterization of FAP and SPP1 in CRC.

Methods

 •24,257 CRC samples tested at Caris Life Sciences (Phoenix, AZ) with WTS (Illumina NovaSeq), NextGen DNA sequencing (NextSeq, 592 genes and NovaSEQ, WES), and PD-L1 expression (LDT SP142; TPS \geq 5%) were analyzed.

•RNA deconvolution analysis with QuantiSEQ infiltration cell estimated the tumor IN microenvironment (TME)

 In the Caris cohort, overall survival (OS) was evaluated from treatment initiation using insurance claims data.

•Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using the Hallmark 50 gene sets was performed based on WTS data to assess significantly enriched pathways according to AXL expression.

•Data from the phase III CALGB/SWOG 80405 trial on 433 metastatic CRC (mCRC) patients treated with bevacizumab (Bev, n = 226) or cetuximab (Cet, n = 207) in combination with first-line chemotherapy were also evaluated.

•RNA isolated from FFPE tumor samples were sequenced with HiSeq 2500 (Illumina).

•OS and progression-free survival (PFS) were compared categorically by gene expression by tertiles into high (T3), medium (T2), and low (T1).

•Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated from Cox proportional hazard model, adjusting for age, sex, ethnicity, ECOG PS, tumor sidedness, number of metastatic sites, KRAS, BRAF, MSI status, treatment with targeted therapy (Bev vs Cet), and chemotherapy (FOLFOX vs FOLFIRI).

Acknowledgments

Support: U10CA180821, U10CA180882; https://acknowledgments.alliancefound.org Genentech, Pfizer. P30CA014089, UG1CA180830 [HJL]; UG1CA180830 and U10CA180888 (SWOG); Trial Identifier: NCT00265850

Figure 1: Immune-Related Markers.



FAP-T3 and SPP1-T3 had increased PD-L1 positivity (q<0.05), while SPP1-T3 also demonstrated increased MSI-H (8.4% vs 5.3%) and TMB-H(>10 mt/mb, 15.0% vs 10.1%) status relative SPP1-T1 (all q<0.001) (Figure 1). T3 of both genes of correlated with increased M1/M2 macrophages, NK cells and T cell inflamed score (q<0.001); dendritic cells and neutrophils were increased in SPP1-T3 and FAP-T1 tumors (q<0.05). FAP-T3 and SPP1-T3 had increased pathway activation of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), inflammatory response, TNF-a signaling, angiogenesis and KRAS signaling (all q < .005, Figure 2)



In Caris cohorts, SPP1 did not correlate with OS in Cet/panitumumab treated CRC(Figure 3A), but FAP-T3 demonstrated worse OS in (T3: 23.6 vs T1: 21.0 months [mo], P = .005; HR 0.85, 95% CI [0.77-0.95]) (Figure 3B)



Results

HR 1.31 [1.10-1.57]). Similarly, SPP1-T3 demonstrated worse PFS (9.0 vs 12.7 vs 14.0 mo, adjusted HR 1.29 [1.12-1.48]) and OS (20.9 vs 34.0 vs 36.3 mo, HR 1.24 [1.07-1.44], P < 0.001). Treatment interaction tests noted FAP-T1 CRC benefited from Cet over Bev with respect to PFS (P = 0.003) and OS (P = 0.044), while SPP1-T1 tumors demonstrated a PFS benefit with Cet (P = 0.009). OS and PFS treatment subgroups for FAP and PFS treatment groups for SPP1 are shown (Figure 4).

Conclusions

- Our results indicate that increased FAP and SPP1 expression is associated with immune cell infiltration, EMT, and inflammatory signaling.
- FAP and SPP1 expression may be prognostic and predictive of targeted therapy.
- These data support the evaluation of FAP and SPP1 as predictive markers and therapeutic targets in CRC.



Abstract ID: 276 Kashouri@usc.edu