



FINAL REPORT


PATIENT	SPECIMEN INFORMATION	ORDERED BY
Name: Patient, Test Date of Birth: Sex: Male Case Number: TN14-111111 Diagnosis: Adenocarcinoma, NOS	Primary Tumor Site: Lung, NOS Specimen Site: Lung, NOS Specimen ID: ABC-12345-YZ Specimen Collected: XX-Mon-2015 Completion of Testing: XX-Mon-2015	Ordering Physician, MD The Cancer Center 123 Main Street Springfield, XY 12345 (123) 456-7890

Bold Therapies = On NCCN Compendium® Therapies

 THERAPIES WITH POTENTIAL BENEFIT (PAGE 4)			
afatinib	EGFR★	irinotecan	TOPO1
docetaxel, paclitaxel	PGP, TUBB3, TLE3★	nab-paclitaxel	SPARC Polyclonal, SPARC Monoclonal
erlotinib	PTEN, KRAS, cMET, PIK3CA, EGFR★	pemetrexed	TS★
gemcitabine	RRM1★	capecitabine, fluorouracil	TS★
		dacarbazine, temozolomide	MGMT★
		doxorubicin, epirubicin, liposomal-doxorubicin	PGP, TOP2A, Her2/Neu
		gefitinib	PTEN, KRAS, cMET, PIK3CA, EGFR★

★ Indicates Clinical Trial Opportunity • 116 Chemotherapy Trials • 106 Targeted Therapy Trials (See Clinical Trials Connector™ on page 8 for details.)

 THERAPIES WITH POTENTIAL LACK OF BENEFIT (PAGE 6)			
ceritinib	ALK	dabrafenib, vemurafenib	BRAF
crizotinib	ROS1, ALK		
		cetuximab	EGFR

 THERAPIES WITH INDETERMINATE BENEFIT (PAGE 7)		
trastuzumab†	everolimus, temsirolimus	lapatinib†
ado-trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1)†, pertuzumab†	imatinib	vandetanib

†Association to Benefit was not indicated due to assay failure.

Therapies associated with potential benefit or lack of benefit, as indicated above, are based on biomarker results provided in this report and are based on published medical evidence. This evidence may have been obtained from studies performed in the cancer type present in the tested patient's sample or derived from another tumor type. The selection of any, all, or none of the matched therapies resides solely with the discretion of the treating physician. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all available information in addition to this report concerning the patient's condition in accordance with the applicable standard of care.

SUMMARY OF BIOMARKER RESULTS (SEE APPENDIX FOR FULL DETAILS)

Biomarker	Method	Result	Biomarker	Method	Result
ABL1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	KDR (VEGFR2)	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
AKT1	NGS	Quantity Not Sufficient	KRAS	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
ALK	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	MGMT	IHC	Negative
ALK	FISH	Negative	MPL	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
Androgen Receptor	IHC	Negative	NOTCH1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
APC	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	NPM1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
ATM	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	NRAS	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
BRAF	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	PD-1 IHC	IHC	Negative
CDH1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	PDGFRA	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
c-KIT	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	PD-L1 IHC	IHC	Negative
cMET	CISH	Test Not Performed	PGP	IHC	Negative
cMET	IHC	Negative	PIK3CA	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
cMET	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	PR	IHC	Negative
CSF1R	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	PTEN	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
CTNNB1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	PTEN	IHC	Positive
EGFR	NGS	Mutated L858R	PTPN11	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
EGFR	IHC (H-Score)	Negative	RB1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
ER	IHC	Negative	RET	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
ERBB4	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	ROS1	FISH	Negative
FBXW7	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	RRM1	IHC	Negative
FGFR1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	SMAD4	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
FGFR2	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	SMARCB1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected
FLT3	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	SMO	NGS	Quantity Not Sufficient
GNA11	NGS	Quantity Not Sufficient	SPARC Monoclonal	IHC	Negative
GNAQ	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	SPARC Polyclonal	IHC	Positive
GNAS	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	STK11	NGS	Quantity Not Sufficient
Her2/Neu	CISH	Test Not Performed	TLE3	IHC	Positive
Her2/Neu	IHC	Negative	TOP2A	IHC	Positive
Her2/Neu (ERBB2)	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	TOPO1	IHC	Positive
HNF1A	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	TP53	NGS	Mutated V173L
HRAS	NGS	Quantity Not Sufficient	TS	IHC	Negative
IDH1	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	TUBB3	IHC	Positive
JAK2	NGS	Mutation Not Detected	VHL	NGS	Quantity Not Sufficient
JAK3	NGS	Mutation Not Detected			

FISH: Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization **IHC:** Immunohistochemistry

CISH: Chromogenic *in situ* hybridization **NGS:** Next-Generation Sequencing

Biomarker Results continued on the next page. >

PATIENT: Patient, Test

TN14-111111

PHYSICIAN: Ordering Physician, MD

✓ THERAPIES WITH **POTENTIAL BENEFIT**

Therapies	Test	Method	Result	Value [†]	Clinical Association				
					Potential Benefit	Decreased Potential Benefit	Lack of Potential Benefit	Highest Level of Evidence*	Reference
afatinib	EGFR	Next Gen SEQ	Mutated, Pathogenic	L858R	✓			I / Good	4 [#]
capecitabine, fluorouracil, pemetrexed	TS	IHC	Negative	1+ 1%	✓			II-1 / Good	5 [#] , 6 [#] , 7
dacarbazine, temozolomide	MGMT	IHC	Negative	1+ 10%	✓			II-2 / Good	19, 20
docetaxel, paclitaxel	PGP	IHC	Negative	0+ 100%	✓			II-3 / Fair	21, 22 [#]
	TLE3	IHC	Positive	2+ 30%	✓			II-2 / Good	27
	TUBB3	IHC	Positive	3+ 90%	✓			I / Good	23, 24 [#] , 25 [#] , 26 [#]
doxorubicin, epirubicin, liposomal-doxorubicin	Her2/Neu	CISH	Technical Issues						
	PGP	IHC	Negative	0+ 100%	✓			II-1 / Fair	28, 29
	TOP2A	IHC	Positive	2+ 10%	✓			I / Good	30, 31
erlotinib, gefitinib	cMET	CISH	Technical Issues						
	EGFR	Next Gen SEQ	Mutated, Pathogenic	L858R	✓			I / Good	33 [#] , 37 [#] , 38 [#] , 39 [#]
	KRAS	Next Gen SEQ	Wild Type		✓			I / Good	33 [#] , 34 [#]
	PIK3CA	Next Gen SEQ	Wild Type		✓			II-1 / Good	35 [#] , 36 [#]
	PTEN	IHC	Positive	2+ 95%	✓			II-3 / Fair	32 [#]
gemcitabine	RRM1	IHC	Negative	2+ 15%	✓			I / Good	43 [#]
irinotecan	TOPO1	IHC	Positive	2+ 80%	✓			II-1 / Good	49, 50, 51

Additional Therapies Associated with Potential Benefit continued on the next page. >

PATIENT: Patient, Test

TN14-111111

PHYSICIAN: Ordering Physician, MD

✓ THERAPIES WITH **POTENTIAL BENEFIT**

Therapies	Test	Method	Result	Value [†]	Clinical Association				
					Potential Benefit	Decreased Potential Benefit	Lack of Potential Benefit	Highest Level of Evidence*	Reference
nab-paclitaxel	SPARC Monoclonal	IHC	Negative	2+ 10%		✓		II-2 / Good	55, 56
	SPARC Polyclonal	IHC	Positive	2+ 30%	✓			II-2 / Good	55, 56

* The level of evidence for all references is assigned according to the Literature Level of Evidence Framework consistent with the US Preventive Services Task Force described further in the Appendix of this report. The data level of each biomarker-drug interaction is the highest level of evidence based on the body of evidence, overall clinical utility, competing biomarker interactions and tumor type from which the evidence was gathered.

Evidence reference includes data from the same lineage as the tested specimen.

†Refer to Appendix for detailed Result and Value information for each biomarker, including appropriate cutoffs, unit of measure, etc.

SAMPLE REPORT. ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY. NOT FOR CLINICAL USE.

X THERAPIES WITH POTENTIAL LACK OF BENEFIT

Therapies	Test	Method	Result	Value [†]	Clinical Association				
					Potential Benefit	Decreased Potential Benefit	Lack of Potential Benefit	Highest Level of Evidence*	Reference
ceritinib	ALK	FISH	Negative				✓	II-1 / Good	8 [#]
cetuximab	EGFR	IHC H-Score	Negative	180			✓	I / Good	9 [#]
crizotinib	ALK	FISH	Negative				✓	I / Good	13 [#] , 14
	ROS1	FISH	Negative				✓	III / Good	10 [#] , 11 [#] , 12 [#]
dabrafenib, vemurafenib	BRAF	Next Gen SEQ	Wild Type				✓	I / Good	15 [#] , 16, 17 [#] , 18

* The level of evidence for all references is assigned according to the Literature Level of Evidence Framework consistent with the US Preventive Services Task Force described further in the Appendix of this report. The data level of each biomarker-drug interaction is the highest level of evidence based on the body of evidence, overall clinical utility, competing biomarker interactions and tumor type from which the evidence was gathered.

Evidence reference includes data from the same lineage as the tested specimen.

†Refer to Appendix for detailed Result and Value information for each biomarker, including appropriate cutoffs, unit of measure, etc.

? THERAPIES WITH INDETERMINATE BENEFIT

(Biomarker results do not impact potential benefit or lack of potential benefit)

Therapies	Test	Method	Result	Value [†]	Clinical Association				Reference
					Potential Benefit	Decreased Potential Benefit	Lack of Potential Benefit	Highest Level of Evidence*	
ado-trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) , pertuzumab	Her2/Neu	CISH	Technical Issues						
	Her2/Neu	IHC	Negative	0+ 100%			✓	I / Good	1, 2, 3
everolimus , temsirolimus	PIK3CA	Next Gen SEQ	Wild Type		✓			II-2 / Good	40, 41, 42
imatinib	c-KIT	Next Gen SEQ	Wild Type				✓	II-2 / Good	44, 45
	PDGFRA	Next Gen SEQ	Wild Type				✓	II-3 / Good	46, 47, 48
lapatinib	Her2/Neu	CISH	Technical Issues						
	Her2/Neu	IHC	Negative	0+ 100%			✓	I / Good	52, 53, 54
trastuzumab	Her2/Neu	CISH	Technical Issues						
	Her2/Neu	IHC	Negative	0+ 100%			✓	I / Good	59, 60, 61, 62
	Her2/Neu (ERBB2)	Next Gen SEQ	Wild Type				✓	II-3 / Good	57 [#] , 58 [#]
vandetanib	RET	Next Gen SEQ	Wild Type					I / Good	63

* The level of evidence for all references is assigned according to the Literature Level of Evidence Framework consistent with the US Preventive Services Task Force described further in the Appendix of this report. The data level of each biomarker-drug interaction is the highest level of evidence based on the body of evidence, overall clinical utility, competing biomarker interactions and tumor type from which the evidence was gathered.

Evidence reference includes data from the same lineage as the tested specimen.

† Refer to Appendix for detailed Result and Value information for each biomarker, including appropriate cutoffs, unit of measure, etc.

PATIENT: Patient, Test

TN14-111111

PHYSICIAN: Ordering Physician, MD

CLINICAL TRIALS CONNECTOR™

For a complete list of open, enrolling clinical trials visit MI Portal to access the [Clinical Trials Connector](#). This personalized, real-time web-based service provides additional clinical trial information and enhanced searching capabilities, including, but not limited to:

- Location: filter by geographic area
- Biomarker(s): identify specific biomarkers associated with open clinical trials to choose from
- Drug(s): search for specific therapies
- Trial Sponsor: locate trials based on the organization supporting the trial(s)

Visit www.CarisMolecularIntelligence.com to view all matched trials.

CHEMOTHERAPY CLINICAL TRIALS (116)			
Drug Class	Biomarker	Method	Investigational Agent(s)
Alkylating agents (1)	MGMT	IHC	dacarbazine
Antifolates (1)	TS	IHC	methotrexate
Nucleoside analog (46)	RRM1	IHC	gemcitabine
Pyrimidine analog (18)	TS	IHC	capecitabine, fluorouracil
Taxanes (50)	TLE3	IHC	cabazitaxel, docetaxel

TARGETED THERAPY CLINICAL TRIALS (106)			
Drug Class	Biomarker	Method	Investigational Agent(s)
Cell cycle inhibitors (4)	TP53	Next Gen SEQ	MK-1775
EGFR TKIs (79)	EGFR	Next Gen SEQ	CO-1686, HM61713, erlotinib, gefitinib
Pan-HER inhibitors (23)	EGFR	Next Gen SEQ	afatinib, dacomitinib, icotinib

() = represents the total number of clinical trials identified by the Clinical Trials Connector for the provided drug class or table.

**To view the rest of the report, contact a
Caris Molecular Intelligence[™]
representative today.**

**(888) 979-8669
MIclientservices@carisls.com**